1 2 3 4	DILLINGHAM & MURPHY, LLP WILLIAM F. MURPHY (STATE BAR NO. 82482) STACY A. SMITH (STATE BAR NO. 219034) 225 Bush Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, California 94104-4207 Telephone: (415) 397-2700 Facsimile: (415) 397-3300	
5	Attorneys for Defendant Safeway Inc.	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12	JESUS TORRES,	Case No. C05-0567 EMC
13	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
14		
15	v.	
16	SAFEWAY INC.,	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	COMES NOW the Safeway SAFEWAY INC. ("Safeway") and answer plaintiff's	
20	complaint for damages and preliminary injunction ("complaint") as follows:	
21	1. Responding to paragraph 1 of the complaint, Safeway currently lacks information	
22	sufficient to respond to the allegation that plaintiff is visually impaired and legally blind, and on	
23	that basis only denies said allegations. Safeway currently lacks information sufficient to respond	
24	to the allegation that plaintiff is a person with a disability and a physically handicapped person,	
25	and on that basis only denies said allegations. Safeway currently lacks information sufficient to	
26	respond to the allegation that plaintiff is either unable to use portions of public facilities which are	

not accessible to the visually impaired or is only able to use such portions with undue difficulty,

and on that basis only denies said allegations. Except as expressly stated, Safeway denies each and

27

28

every allegation contained in paragraph 1 of the complaint.

- 2. Responding to paragraph 2 of the complaint, Safeway admits that it operates a store located at 660 Bailey Road, Pittsburg, California, and that the store is open to the public. Except as expressly admitted, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 2 of the complaint.
- 3. Responding to paragraph 3 of the complaint, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 4. Responding to paragraph 4 of the complaint, Safeway admits that this Court has jurisdiction over this action. Except as expressly admitted, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 4 of the complaint.
- 5. Responding to paragraph 5 of the complaint, Safeway admits that venue is proper in this Court. Except as expressly stated, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 5 of the complaint.
- 6. Responding to paragraph 6 of the complaint, Safeway admits that the assignment of this case is proper in the San Francisco intradistrict. Except as expressly stated, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 6 of the complaint.
- 7. Responding to paragraph to paragraph 7 of the complaint, Safeway admits that its Pittsburg, California store is a "public accommodation or facility". Except as expressly admitted, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 6 of the complaint.
  - 8. Paragraph 8 of the complaint does not state any allegation requiring a response.
- 9. Responding to paragraph 9 of the complaint, Safeway admits that it operates a store located at 660 Bailey Road, Pittsburg, California. Except as expressly admitted, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 9 of the complaint.
- 10. Responding to paragraph 10 of the complaint, Safeway currently lacks information sufficient to respond to the allegation that plaintiff is a person with a disability, and on that basis only denies said allegations. Except as expressly stated, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 10.
  - 11. Paragraph 11 of the complaint purports to recite the text of statutes, to which no

response is required. Except as expressly stated, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 11.

- 12. Paragraph 12 of the complaint purports to recite the text of statutes, to which no response is required.
- 13. Responding to paragraph 13 of the complaint, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 14. Responding to paragraph 14 of the complaint, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 15. Responding to paragraph 15 of the complaint, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein, and further denies that plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested, or to any relief at all.
- 16. Responding to paragraph 16 of the complaint, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein, and further denies that plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested, or to any relief at all.
- 17. Responding to paragraph 17 of the complaint, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein, and further denies that plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested, or to any relief at all.
- 18. Responding to paragraph 18 of the complaint, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein, and further denies that plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested, or to any relief at all.
- 19. Responding to paragraph 19 of the complaint, Safeway refers to and incorporates its responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 18 of the complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Paragraph 20 of the complaint purports to recite the text of statutes, to which no response is required.
- 21. Paragraph 21 of the complaint purports to recite the text of statutes, to which no response is required.
  - 22. Paragraph 22 of the complaint purports to recite the text of statutes, to which no

response is required.

- 23. Paragraph 23 of the complaint purports to recite the text of statutes, to which no response is required.
- 24. Paragraph 24 of the complaint purports to recite the text of statutes, to which no response is required. Except as expressly stated, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 24.
- 25. Responding to paragraph 25, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 26. Responding to paragraph 26 of the complaint, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein, and further denies that plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested, or to any relief at all.
- 27. Responding to paragraph 27 of the complaint, Safeway denies each and every allegation contained therein, and that plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested, or to any relief at all.
- 28. Safeway alleges that plaintiff's complaint, and each and every claim purportedly set forth therein, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 29. AS A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Safeway alleges that the complaint, and each and every claim purportedly set forth therein, is barred in whole or in part by plaintiff's negligent and/or culpable conduct and/or the negligent and/or culpable conduct of others and that accordingly, plaintiff is entitled to on relief of any kind against Safeway.
- 30. AS A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Safeway denies that any loss, damage or injury of any kind or character, of any sum or amount has been suffered by plaintiff by reason of any acts, omissions, carelessness, negligence, or intentional conduct on the part of Safeway, or on the part of any of Safeway's agents, servants, employees, or any other person or persons acting or purporting to act o its behalf, or on behalf of any of them.
- 31. AS A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Safeway alleges that Safeway's conduct was privileged and/or justified.

///

1	<u>PRAYER</u>	
2	WHEREFORE, Safeway prays that the Court order a judgment decree:	
3	1. That plaintiff take nothing by reason of his complaint.	
4	2. That the Court deny plaintiff's request for injunctive relief or any other relief.	
5	3. For attorneys' fees.	
6	4. For costs of suit.	
7	5. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
8		
9	Dated: April 28, 2005  DILLINGHAM & MURPHY, LLP WILLIAM F. MURPHY	
10	STACY A. SMITH	
11	/s/	
12	By: Attorneys for Defendant SAFEWAY, INC.	
13	Attorneys for 2 creations	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		